1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 05-44481-rdd In the Matter of: DELPHI CORPORATION, et al. Debtors. United States Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York November 24, 2008 11:01 AM B E F O R E: HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

2 1 2 HEARING re the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' 3 Application for Interim and Final Orders Under §§ 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2014 Approving 4 Retention of Moelis & Company LLC as Co-Investment Banker to 5 the Committee Nunc Pro Tunc to July 1, 2008 6 7 HEARING re Debtors' Thirty-First Omnibus Objection Pursuant to 8 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to (a) Untimely 9 Equity Claim; (b) Books and Records Claim that is Subject to 10 11 Prior Order; (c) Untimely Books and Records Claims; (d) Books and Records Tax Claim that is Subject to Prior Order; (e) 12 Untimely Claims; and (f) Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation 13 that are Subject to Prior Orders 14 15 16 Hearing Re Expedited Motion for Orders Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 363, 365, 1123, and 1146 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 6004, 6006, and 17 9014 (A) (i) Approving Bidding Procedures; (ii) Granting 18 19 Certain Bid Protections; (iii) Approving Form and Manner of 2.0 Sale Notices; and (iv) Setting Sale Hearing Date and (B) 21 Authorizing and Approving (i) Sale of Debtors' Assets Comprising Debtors' Exhaust Emissions Business Free and Clear 22 23 of Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances; (ii) Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases, 24 25 and (iii) Assumption of Certain Liabilities

HEARING re Expedited Motion for Order Authorizing Debtors to Enter Into (i) Second Amendment to Arrangement with General Motors Corporation Approved Pursuant to Second DIP Extension Order and (ii) Partial Temporary Accelerated Pay Hearing Re Expedited Motion for Order (i) Supplementing January 5, 2007 Dip Refinancing Order (Docket No. 6461) and Authorizing Debtors to Enter Into and Implement Accommodation Agreement with Agent and Participating Lenders and (ii) Authorizing Debtors to (A) Enter Into Related Documents and (B) Pay Fees in Connection Therewith Transcribed by: Lisa Bar-Leib

		1 g + 01 13	—
			4
1			
2	APP	EARANCES:	
3	SKADD	DEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP	
4		Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession	
5		333 West Wacker Drive	
6		Chicago, IL 60606	
7			
8	BY:	JOHN WM. BUTLER, JR.	
9		ALBERT L. HOGAN III	
10			
11	SKADD	DEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP	
12		Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession	
13		Four Times Square	
14		New York, NY 10036	
15			
16	BY:	KAYALYN A. MARAFIOTI, ESQ.	
17			
18	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
19		Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	
20		53rd at Third	
21		885 Third Avenue	
22		New York, NY 10022	
23			
24	BY:	MARK A. BROUDE, ESQ.	
25		MICHAEL RIELA, ESQ.	

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

		1930113
		5
1		
2	WARNE	R STEVENS, LLP
3		Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
4		301 Commerce Street
5		Suite 1700
6		Fort Worth, TX 76102
7		
8	BY:	LEWIS T. STEVENS, ESQ.
9		(TELEPHONICALLY)
10		
11	FRIED	, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP
12		Attorneys for Equity Committee
13		One New York Plaza
14		New York, NY 10004
15		
16	BY:	BONNIE STEINGART, ESQ.
17		
18	WEIL,	GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
19		Attorneys for General Motors Corporation
20		767 Fifth Avenue
21		New York, NY 10153
22		
23	BY:	JEFFREY L. TANENBAUM, ESQ.
24		
25		

		1 9 0 01 13	
			6
1			
2	HAYNE	S AND BOONE, LLP	
3		Attorneys for Calyon New York Branch	
4		1221 Avenue of the Americas	
5		26th Floor	
6		New York, NY 10020	
7			
8	BY:	JUDITH ELKIN, ESQ.	
9			
10	COOLE	Y GODWARD KRONISH LLP	
11		Attorneys for Greywolf Capital	
12		1114 Avenue of the Americas	
13		New York, NY 10036	
14			
15	BY:	RONALD R. SUSSMAN, ESQ.	
16			
17	QUINN	EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP	
18		Attorneys for Tranche C Collective	
19		51 Madison Avenue	
20		22nd Floor	
21		New York, NY 10010	
22			
23	BY:	SUSHEEL KIRPALANI, ESQ.	
24		RICHARD I. WERDER, ESQ,	
25			

ĺ		Fg / 01 19	
			7
1			
2	ANDREWS KURTH LLP		
3		450 Lexington Avenue	
4		New York, NY 10017	
5			
6	BY:	PAUL SILVERSTEIN, ESQ.	
7			
8	DAVIS	POLK & WARDWELL	
9		Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase as DIP Agent	
10		450 Lexington Avenue	
11		New York, NY 10017	
12			
13	BY:	DONALD S. BERNSTEIN, ESQ.	
14		MICHAEL S. FLYNN, ESQ.	
15		KARIN S. DAY, ESQ.	
16		BRIAN M. RESNICK, ESQ.	
17			
18	WINST	ON & STRAWN LLP	
19		Attorneys for Group of Lenders	
20		200 Park Avenue	
21		New York, NY 10166	
22			
23	BY:	ROBERT BOUDREAU, ESQ.	
24		(TELEPHONICALLY)	
25			

```
8
 1
 2
      BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN, LLP
 3
            Attorneys for General Electric Capital Corp.
 4
            One Federal Street
 5
            Boston, MA 02110
 6
 7
      BY:
            JULIA FROST-DAVIES, ESQ.
 8
            (TELEPHONICALLY)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. Delphi Corporation.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, good morning. Jack Butler,
Al Hogan and Kayalyn Marafioti here from Skadden on behalf of
Delphi Corporation for its thirty-seventh omnibus hearing.

Your Honor, this morning's agenda has five matters on it. With
the Court's permission, I'd like to address matters 4 and 5
first.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, earlier this morning, there were separate chambers conferences between the interested parties in the court on matters number 4, the GM arrangement second amendment agreement approval motion, filed at docket number 14409, and the accommodation motion at docket number 14408. The GM arrangement second amendment agreement approval motion is not contested. There have been a series of objections filed to the accommodation motion. And today is the date and time scheduled for the contested hearing on that matter.

Over the course of the weekend, there have been discussions between the interested parties including discussions between General Motors and Delphi Corporation. And based on those discussions in connection with GM providing the 600 million dollars worth of additional liquidity to the estate under the terms of the GM arrangement second amendment

2.0

agreement approval motion, GM has requested, and Delphi has agreed, that we will continue review with our DIP lenders the terms and conditions of the proposed accommodation motion including reviewing certain amendments that have been made since the November 7th original filing. There are meetings scheduled to conduct those discussions this week. And the lead arranger has, on the bank Interlink system, extended the consent date for pages under the accommodation motion to Wednesday at 5:00. The company -- well, the company had --

THE COURT: So Wednesday this week?

MR. BUTLER: This Wednesday this week, Your Honor.

Two days from now at 5 p.m. Eastern time. While the company had obtained the vote of the required lenders who's prepared to proceed today, it is extremely important to the company that we proceed to the extent that we can on a consensual manner and that we obtain the liquidity under the GM agreement. So for that reason, we have asked the Court and contacted chambers -- we've asked the Court to consider adjourning these two matters through the balance of the Thanksgiving weekend to give us an opportunity to complete these discussions and to be back here, if it's acceptable to the Court, at 10:00 on December 1st.

THE COURT: Okay. I have the time on December 1st so
I'll adjourn the two motions until 10 on that date. It's
perfectly fine for the parties to try to reach agreements based
on their respective rights and remedies. So I encourage you

Pa 11 of 19 11 all to do that. 1 2 MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. So, I'll pause 3 just for a couple of minutes, with the Court's permission, if it's all right, and just give anyone who would like to leave 4 the courtroom before going to the balance of the agenda the 5 opportunity to do so, if that's all right. 6 THE COURT: 7 Okay. (Pause) 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, proceeding with the other 10 11 three matters on the agenda, the first of them is the Moelis retention at docket number 14126 presented by the creditors' 12 committee. 13 MR. RIELA: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael Riela 14 of Latham & Watkins on behalf of the committee. I stand before 15 16 this Court on the final hearing on the committee's motion, or application, to retain Moelis & Company as co-investment banker 17 nunc pro tunc to July 1st, 2008. 18 19 As this Court may recall, an interim order was 2.0 entered approving this application on September the 25th. That interim order was placed on the docket as well as on the 21 delphidocket.com website. No objections have been filed to 22 23 that application. The objections were due on November the

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

Jeffries & Company, the currently retained financial

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

14th.

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

12 advisor to the committee, will continue to be retained by the committee in this case but there was going to be a sharing of the fees as set forth in the Moelis retention application as well the engagement letter. In the interest of saving time here, I don't have anything more to add unless Your Honor has any questions. THE COURT: No. As I -- we talked about this earlier. There's no net increase in the fees here. MR. RIELA: That's correct. THE COURT: It's just an allocation as between Moelis and Jeffries to accommodate the fact that a couple of the more senior people are at Moelis now. MR. RIELA: That is correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Given that and given there are no objections, I'll approve the modification and retention of Moelis on a final basis. MR. RIELA: Thank you, Your Honor. And may I approach with the order? THE COURT: Yes. MR. RIELA: Thank you. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the next matter on the agenda is matter number 2, is the debtors' thirty-first omnibus

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

claims objection at docket number 14349. There were eleven

are withdrawing from that objection one claim objection and

proofs of claim on the objection as it was filed. The debtors

2.0

2.1

that is with respect to proof of claim number 16797 filed by William M. Jenkins. That leaves ten proofs of claim that are the subject to the objection asserting approximately 2.8 million dollars in claims plus unliquidated amounts. No responses were received from any party even though we provided the customary particularized notice that is usual in these cases with respect to these matters.

As a result, Your Honor, the relief we're seeking today on an uncontested basis covers the ten claims asserting liquidated claims, as I indicated, of about 2.6 million. We're seeking to expunge seven of those claims with an asserted amount of about 441,000 dollars. And with respect to the three remaining claims that assert 2.2 million, we are seeking to modify those claims downward by about 400,000 dollars to a total of 1.8 million dollars.

This otherwise -- this motion is presented in the same routine manner as the others before it.

THE COURT: Okay. And the one that was withdrawn, is that going into the contested category or is that just resolved at this point?

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I think at this point -- I don't have the information on whether they resolved it or not.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BUTLER: The claims team just indicated to me that they weren't --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

14

516-608-2400

THE COURT: It's just not covered by this order at this point?

MR. BUTLER: Not covered by this order at this point.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, given the uncontested relief that's sought after individualized notice and the averments in the objection, I'll grant the omnibus objection as modified on the record.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the last matter on the agenda is the exhaust business sale motion at docket number 14443. There was a response filed by the creditors' committee at docket number 14465 which is not an objection to the relief today but simply putting the Court and other interested parties on notice that the creditors' committee continues to do due diligence about the appropriateness of the value being received under the terms of the motion which will come up at the final sale hearing and reserving their rights in that regard. In the world in which we currently live, the amounts being paid for divestitures continues to be reduced in terms of multiples and other matters. And as the committee quite properly notes in their objection, this is at approximately a multiple of one in terms of the value which is less than we have been able to accomplish in some of the other sales although I would just note that for many of the sales we've had in these cases, there has been really a de minimis amount of actual cash that's come back to

2.0

the company after satisfying the claims and supply agreements and union requirements and all the other matters that have had to be addressed.

But nonetheless, this is a proposed sale to a buyer by the name of Bienes Turgon S.A. de C.V. It is for a purchase price of seventeen million dollars subject to certain working capital adjustments. There is an allocation of only 150,000 dollars to the selling debtor entities. The balance of it is allocated outside of that property -- or, excuse me, of those entities. There is an expense reimbursement which is capped at 600,000 dollars and we have set up the usual two-step process here to go out and establish a bid deadline here which is proposed to be December 8th, 2008 at 11 a.m. If there are bids received that are qualified bids as determined under the bidding procedures order, there would be an auction held on December 11th, 2008 and the sale hearing would be at the next omnibus which is schedule to be December 17th, 2008 at 10 a.m.

The terms of the motion describe in detail the termination rights that give rise to expense reimbursements and the limitations regarding bid protections. I would note, Your Honor, that there was another sentence added to paragraph 8 of the bid protection order, which I think has been provided to the Court, but I just want to read it into the record. At the end of paragraph 8, the following sentence has been added:
"Notwithstanding any of the language in this order, the selling

2.0

debtor entities and the nondebtor sellers shall be obligated to pay the bid protection only if the buyers are not in material breach of the agreement." In the order we'll hand up to Your Honor, that has been added after discussions among the parties that are working on this transaction.

I don't know if Your Honor has specific questions about the bidding nature of the bidding order which -- it's fairly similar to what we've used in the past.

THE COURT: I didn't have any issues with the bidding procedures. I wanted to spell out a little more clearly in the order that any payment of the bid protection shall be allocated among the sellers in proportion to their allocable share of the purchase price so that there's no doubt that the debtors wouldn't be stuck with more than their proportionate share.

And then, at least as I read it, unless you sell these assets down the road within the time prescribed in the agreement, if I simply disapprove the sale, again, thinking of the committees' reservation, it didn't seem to me that the breakup fee was triggered. It wasn't clear whether the expense reimbursement was triggered or not. I think it may not be. But given the allocation language, I think it's much less of an issue because the amount that the debtors would have to pay of the expense reimbursement would be very small.

So, with that change, I'm prepared to approve it.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,

```
17
 1
      those were all the matters on the agenda for today. We'll get
      back to the business of sorting out the matters on the other
 2
 3
      two motions and we'll be back before Your Honor on Monday.
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 4
                MR. BUTLER: Thank you very much.
 5
 6
           (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:14 a.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

05-44481-rdd Doc 14509 Filed 11/26/08 Entered 12/02/08 09:58:14 Main Document Pg 18 of 19

ĺ	Pg 18 01 19		
			18
1			
2	INDEX		
3			
4	RULINGS		
5	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
6	Motion of Official committee of unsecured	12	15
7	creditors to retain Moelis & Company as		
8	co-investment banker nunc pro tunc to July		
9	1st, 2008 approved		
10	Debtors' thirty-first omnibus claims	14	6
11	objection granted		
12	Debtors' exhaust business sale motion	16	24
13	approved subject to modification of the Court	=	
14	as spelled out on the record		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	1 9 13 01 13	
		19
1		
2	CERTIFICATION	
3		
4	I, Lisa Bar-Leib, certify that the foregoing transcript is	a
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings.	
6		
7		
8	LISA BAR-LEIB	
9		
10	Veritext LLC	
11	200 Old Country Road	
12	Suite 580	
13	Mineola, NY 11501	
14		
15	Date: November 26, 2008	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		